The Court, having considered USAA CIC's Motion for Summary Judgment and finding good cause therefor, hereby GRANTS the Motion and ORDERS as follows:.

- 1. As USAA CIC's duty to defend or indemnify Whiteley was not triggered by the allegations within the complaint in the underlying civil lawsuit based on the terms of the subject homeowners and umbrella insurance policies, USAA CIC is entitled to summary judgment and its Motion is GRANTED as to Whiteley's first cause of action for breach of contract, and Whiteley's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment is DENIED.
- 2. As there has been no withholding of benefits under the subject homeowners and umbrella insurance policies and as USAA CIC acted reasonably in its handling of Whiteley's claim and the parties were engaged in a genuine dispute as to whether USAA CIC's duty to defend Whiteley in the underlying suit was triggered, USAA CIC is entitled to summary judgment and its Motion is GRANTED as to Whiteley's second cause of action for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.
- 3. As, following the Court's ruling above, any declaratory relief in Whiteley's favor would be an incorrect statement as a matter of law, USAA CIC is entitled to summary judgment and its Motion is GRANTED as to Whiteley's third cause of action for declaratory relief.

favor of USAA CIC, there are no remaining triable issues before this

Court in this matter and judgment shall enter for USAA CIC.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _	, 2025	
		FERNANDO L. AENLLE-ROCHA

United States District Judge